Determination of the energy-dependent conduction band mass in SiO₂

R. Ludeke and E. Cartier
IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Andreas Schenk
Integrated Systems Laboratory, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Gloriastrasse 35, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

(Received 14 May 1999; accepted for publication 3 July 1999)

The energy dependence of the conduction band mass in amorphous SiO₂ was deduced from quantum interference oscillations in the ballistic electron emission microscope current, and separately from Monte Carlo simulations of the electron mean free paths obtained by internal photoemission. The results imply a strong nonparabolicity of the conduction band of SiO₂.
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The effective mass \( m_{\text{ox}} \) of conduction band electrons in SiO₂ is a fundamental parameter that enters into the description of virtually every aspect of hot electron transport in metal–oxide–semiconductor (MOS) based structures and devices.\(^1\) The mass directly affects the electron-phonon coupling strengths that determine scattering rates in SiO₂, which in turn determine the thermalization of hot electrons,\(^2\) charge trapping/detrapping phenomena,\(^3\) and ultimately device degradation processes.\(^4\) Nevertheless \( m_{\text{ox}} \) has not been measured unequivocally and considerable disparities have been reported.\(^5,6\) The suggestion that \( m_{\text{ox}} \) is dispersive, i.e., \( m_{\text{ox}} = m_{\text{ox}}(E) \), where \( E \) is the kinetic energy of the conduction band electron, was already made by Maserjian\(^5\) and subsequently addressed by others.\(^1,3\) A dispersive \( m_{\text{ox}} \) is also evident from band structure calculations for all polytypes of quartz.\(^9,10\) However, a direct measurement has not been previously reported. We present in this letter two independent determinations of \( m_{\text{ox}}(E) \) that are in qualitative agreement over a 0–3 eV kinetic energy (KE) range. Both rely on theoretical modeling with \( m_{\text{ox}}(E) \) adjusted to fit experimental data. One method is based on quantum interference (QI) oscillations of electrons injected into a MOS structure with the tip of scanning tunneling microscope (STM), a technique called ballistic electron emission microscopy (BEEM).\(^7,8\) The second determination is based on Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of the energy dependent attenuation lengths of the electrons obtained from internal photoemission experiments.\(^11\)

The MOS structures were prepared in ultrahigh vacuum by evaporating 1.8–4 nm metal layers (W or Pd) through a shadow mask and onto oxides that were thermally grown ex situ on p-type Si(100). In BEEM the STM is biased at \( V_T \) relative to the metal film, which imparts the electrons with a KE of \( eV_T \) relative to Fermi level \( E_F \) of the metal. The electrons traverse the metal ballistically and may enter the conduction band of the SiO₂ if \( eV_T > \Phi_B \), the barrier height between \( E_F \) and the SiO₂ conduction band edge. Electrons that reach the Si substrate are detected as an emerging “collector” current \( I_c \). A bias \( V_B \) applied across the oxide can be adjusted to achieve flat band conditions, a preference that minimizes variations in KE as the electron traverses the oxide. In the spectroscopy mode the scanning of the STM tip is stopped temporarily and \( I_c \) is measured as a function of \( V_T \). \( I_c \) may exhibit an oscillatory modulation arising from interference effects of the electron wave function in the oxide conduction band,\(^7,8\) akin to those observed in Fowler–Nordheim (FN) experiments.\(^5,6,12–17\) In the simplest model of a rectangular potential barrier, maxima in the transmission coefficient \( \tau(E) \) are found at \( (E - \Phi_B)^{1/2} = n\pi\hbar/d(2m)^{1/2} \), \( n = 1,2,3,\ldots \).\(^18\)

In the internal photoemission experiments electrons from a Pt substrate were excited with monochromatic light of energy \( \hbar\omega \) and injected into the conduction band of 1–5 nm SiO₂ layers. A fraction of the electrons traverse the oxide and are emitted into the adjacent vacuum, where the thickness dependent energy distributions were measured as a function of \( E \).

The energy distributions provide a quasidynamic picture of the thermalization as the electrons cross the oxide under zero field conditions. An exponential decay with increasing layer thickness \( d \) was observed: \(^{19} \) \( I(E,d) = I_0 \exp[-d/L_a(E)] \), with \( L_a(E) \), the attenuation length, representing a measure for the phonon scattering rates at energy \( E \). The solid data points in Fig. 1 represent the values of \( L_a(E) \) thus obtained over a 1–3.2 eV interval and are consistent with energy averaged values obtained from quantum interference\(^{14}\) and internal photoemission experiments.\(^20\)

We used a Monte Carlo solution of the Boltzmann transport equation in order to relate quantitatively the measured \( L_a(E) \) to the scattering processes in SiO₂. We simulate the evolution of the electron distribution for various oxide thicknesses and extract \( L_a(E) \) in exactly the same manner as for the experimental data. This procedure removes any ambiguity arising from different possible methods in defining \( L_a(E) \). The unknown physical quantities such as the electron phonon coupling constants and/or the effective mass \( m_{\text{ox}} \) were then obtained in an iterative manner until an accurate match to the measured \( L_a(E) \) was obtained. The MC routines and methods of calculating the electron-phonon scattering rates were those of Fischetti et al.\(^{2,21}\) Electron scattering with LO, TO, and acoustic phonons were considered, with boundary conditions appropriate to the geometry.\(^22\) Screening effects arising from the metal electrons were neglected.
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We initially assumed a parabolic conduction band described by $m_{ox} = 0.5 m_0$ and considered only electron scattering with LO phonon modes of 63 and 153 meV. The resulting $L_d(E)$, shown by dash-dotted line in Fig. 1, shows a sharp dip near 0.2 eV followed by a linear rise consistent with the coulombic nature of the electron interaction with LO phonons. The poor fit at higher energies is improved by including acoustic phonon scattering (dashed curve), with agreement to the experimental $L_d(E)$ at 1.5 eV premised on the choice of 6 eV for the acoustic phonon deformation potential. The subsequent deviations from the experimental data could not be reduced by the inclusion of TO mode scattering, nor by changing the nondispersive value of $m_{ox}$ over a range from 0.3 to 0.7 $m_0$. Agreement could only be obtained by assuming an energy dependent $m_{ox}(E)$, with the resulting improved fit shown by the solid line in Fig. 1. Only LO and acoustic phonon scattering was included, and an electron velocity $v(E) = (2E/m_{ox}(E))^1/2$ was assumed. The resulting $m_{ox}(E)$ will be discussed following the determination of $m_{ox}$ from QI in BEEM.

A BEEM spectrum for a MOS structure with a 3 nm oxide is shown as a dotted curve in Fig. 2(a). An oscillatory structure is clearly observable. A bias $V_b$ = 0.3 V (substrate positive) was applied across the oxide to assure flat band conditions. All spectra were measured on areas of the sample not previously subjected to electron injection into the oxide (i.e., $V_T < |{-3.77}|$). Such prior exposures, particularly for $V_T > 6$ V, can generate positive oxide charge whose random distribution alters and even suppresses the QI structure.23,24 The energy of the QI maxima are accurately determined by assuming that $I_e$ is the product of the transmission coefficient $\tau(E)$ and an unmodulated component $I^0_e$: $I_e(E) = \tau(E)I^0_e(E)$. $I^0_e$ can be approximated by a simple power law curve tangential to the maxima in the BEEM spectrum, as shown by the dashed curve in Fig. 2(a). $\tau(E)$ is then obtained by dividing $I_e$ by $I^0_e$, with the results shown by the dotted curve in Fig. 2(b). This curve represents approximately the "experimental" $\tau(E)$, from which the positions of the QI maxima are readily obtained.

We will next outline the calculation of $\tau(E)$ and the procedure to match the maxima with those of the experimental $\tau(E)$. Details of the numerical solution of the one-dimensional (1D) Schrödinger equation can be found elsewhere. Briefly, the barrier includes image force corrections arising from both metal-SiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$-Si interfaces, and is represented by a multistep potential approximation, with continuity of $\psi$ and $(1/m_{ox})(d\psi/dx)$ at each step boundary. Electron effective masses of $m_0$ and 0.19$m_0$ were assumed for the metal and Si conduction band electrons, respectively. Density of states mismatches across the interfaces were ignored. The results of calculating $\tau(E)$ with a constant mass, represented in Fig. 2(b) solely by arrows marking the maxima, shows agreement with experiment only for the first two maxima, to which the solution was intentionally fitted by setting $m_{ox} = 0.42m_0$. Consequently, the mass was adjusted so that the first maximum agreed with the experimental one, thereby yielding $m_{ox}(E_1)$. Then $m_{ox}$ was increased until agreement was reached for the second maximum, giving $m_{ox}(E_2)$. This procedure was continued for all subsequent maxima, yielding $m_{ox}(E_i)$ at peak energies $E_i$. Thus $m_{ox}(E_i)$ defines an absolute value of the mass and its dispersion at the discreet energies $E_i$. The resulting $\tau(E)$ is shown by the solid curve in Fig. 2(b). The values of $m_{ox}(E_i)$ are then used to obtain the dispersion curve represented by the dotted line in Fig. 3. Nearly coincident with this curve lies the solid line through data points that represent $m_{ox}(E_i)$ calculated for $E_i$ values averaged from several spectra. Here we have subtracted the threshold energies to show the dispersions as a function of the KE of the conduction band electrons in SiO$_2$. The dashed curve is the mass dispersion of the averaged maxima with the assumption that the oxide thickness $d$ is 2.9 nm. An uncertainty
in \(d\) represents the most influential source for error in \(m_{\alpha}(E)\); estimated uncertainties in \(V_{ox}\) and \(\Phi_B\) have a substantially smaller effect.\(^7\)

The mass dispersion obtained from the MC simulations of the attenuation lengths in SiO\(_2\) is shown by the open symbols in Fig. 3. Although the discrepancy with the BEEM-determined dispersion is substantial, the changes in mass over comparable energy intervals are quite close. Agreement cannot be expected since the “reference” mass for the MC calculations at 1.5 eV was assumed to be 0.5 \(m_0\). No attempts were made to improve the agreement by increasing the mass in the MC calculations. Neither do we believe that efforts were made to improve the agreement by increasing the dimensionality.\(^28\)

The overall increase in \(m_{\alpha}(E)\) for the thinner oxide is consistent with a narrowing of the bands due to the decreasing dimensionality.\(^28\)

The range of the dispersions in all three cases, which are comparable in magnitude, implies considerable nonparabolicity in the conduction bands of SiO\(_2\). The reduced dispersion at low KE, particularly for the 3 nm oxide, indicates an initial constancy of \(m_{\alpha}(E)\) that implies a parabolic band behavior near the bottom of the conduction band. The value here of 0.44 \(m_0\) is close to the best estimates of the tunnel mass \(m_1 = 0.42 m_0\) near the top of the gap,\(^29\) and is consistent with the smooth transition between a Franz-type band dispersion in the gap and the bottom of the conduction band.\(^5\)

Marked on the right ordinate of Fig. 3 near \(m_{\alpha} = 0.85 m_0\) are values obtained previously from QI in (FN)-injection experiments.\(^5,6\) Their magnitude is considerably larger than the 0.63 \(m_0\) value obtained recently by BEEM for a 2.8 nm oxide,\(^7\) which was deduced from a single mass fit to data inferior to the results reported here. Nevertheless, its value agrees well with the energy-averaged dispersive mass for the 3 nm layer. The origin of the discrepancies with FN results are readily attributed to the greater sensitivity of \(m_{\alpha}\) to uncertainties in the FN parameters. Although \(m_{\alpha}\) depends inversely on \(d^2\) in the FN criteria for both BEEM and FN experiments, its dependence on \(\Phi_B\) is linear for BEEM\(^18\) but cubic for FN,\(^5\) which leads to serious errors in \(m_{\alpha}\) if \(\Phi_B\) is not accurately known. Since for the high fields (\(\sim 10^7\) V/cm) typical of FN injection \(\Phi_B\) is quite sensitive to image force effects,\(^30\) which were neglected in the FN experiments, substantial reductions in \(m_{\alpha}\) can be expected by their inclusion.\(^31\)
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