Reassessment of the intrinsic carrier density in crystalline silicon in view of band-gap narrowing
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The commonly used value of the intrinsic carrier density of crystalline silicon at 300 K is \( n_i = 1.00 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\). It was experimentally determined by Sproul and Green, J. Appl. Phys. 70, 846 (1991), using specially designed solar cells. In this article, we demonstrate that the Sproul and Green experiment was influenced by band-gap narrowing, even though the dopant density of their samples was low (10\(^{14}\) to 10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-3}\)). We reinterpret their measurements by numerical simulations with a random-phase approximation model for band-gap narrowing, thereby obtaining \( n_i = 9.65 \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3}\) at 300 K. This value is consistent with results obtained by Misiakos and Tsamakis, J. Appl. Phys. 74, 3293 (1993), using capacitance measurements. In this way, long-prevailing inconsistencies between independent measurement techniques for the determination of \( n_i \) are resolved. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1529297]

I. INTRODUCTION

The intrinsic carrier density \( n_i \) is a fundamental quantity in semiconductor physics which enters almost all calculations that relate responses to excitations. For example, \( n_i \) strongly influences minority carrier densities and recombination properties. Therefore, the exact quantification of \( n_i \) is of prime importance for an improved understanding and design of semiconductor devices.

Prior to 1990, \( n_i = 1.45 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\) was commonly used for crystalline silicon, leading to significant deviations between the theoretically predicted and the measured behavior of devices.\(^1\) A value of \( n_i = 1.08(8) \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\) was suggested by Green\(^1\) in 1990 (the digit in the parentheses represents the estimated one-standard-deviation uncertainty in the last digit of the previous value). Shortly thereafter, \( n_i = 1.00(3) \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\) was experimentally confirmed by Sproul and Green.\(^2,3\) This is the most widely accepted value of \( n_i \) in the silicon community nowadays. Here, we reinterpret the Sproul and Green experiment by numerical simulations with a quantum-mechanical model for band-gap narrowing.\(^4\) We obtain \( n_i = 9.65(3) \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3}\) at 300 K. This value is consistent with \( n_i = 9.7(1) \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3}\), obtained by Misiakos and Tsamakis\(^5\) using capacitance measurements. In this way, we resolve long-prevailing inconsistencies between independent measurements of \( n_i \) in crystalline silicon.

II. SPROUL AND GREEN EXPERIMENT

In their experiment, Sproul and Green fabricated \( p-n \) junction solar cells on high-purity float-zone (FZ) wafers. After an intentional degradation of the rear surface passivation, the external current of these cells was limited by the electron flow through the boron-doped base. Thus, the current-voltage (\( I-V \)) curves of these cells depended only on very few parameters: \( n_i \), the mobility of minority electrons \( \mu_{\text{e, min}} \) in the base, the dopant density \( N_{\text{dop}} \) in the base, and the base thickness \( W \). As \( \mu_{\text{e, min}} \), \( N_{\text{dop}} \), and \( W \) were known from separate measurements of the same material, only \( n_i \) was unknown. Sproul and Green obtained \( n_i \) by reproducing their measured \( I-V \) curves with an analytical model, where \( n_i \) was adjusted as a free input parameter. Hence, their measurement technique is among the most direct methods of determining \( n_i \).\(^1\) The symbols in Fig. 1 show the measured dark \( I-V \) curves,\(^6,7\) and the circles in Fig. 2 depict \( n_i \) extracted from these cells using the analytical model.\(^6\) The error bars in Fig. 2 represent the one-standard-deviation uncertainty given by Sproul and Green.

III. REVISION OF BAND-GAP NARROWING

Figure 2 suggests that the Sproul and Green \( n_i \) values increase with rising dopant density. This tendency is weaker at other temperatures than 300 K (not shown here) because the Sproul and Green use of the Ebers–Moll theory implies a complex dependency on mobilities, which was most accurately incorporated at 300 K. Sproul and Green mentioned\(^5\) that their measurements may be influenced by band-gap narrowing (BGN). This would imply that their data points in
The inverse thermal energy corresponding to an absolute temperature is indicated by Misiakos and Tsamakis in 1991, where $\Delta E_g$ is the band gap narrowing and $n_i$ is the intrinsic carrier density shown in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2. The (effective) intrinsic carrier density of crystalline silicon, as a function of boron dopant density, determined by Sproul and Green (see Ref. 2) and Misiakos and Tsamakis (see Ref. 6) (symbols), and simulated using Dessis (see Ref. 65) with the BGN model of Ref. 4 (lines). These $I-V$ curves depend only on a few material and device parameters, which were separately measured and hence enable the extraction of the intrinsic density shown in Fig. 2.

Sproul and Green neglected BGN and interpreted the scattering of their data as inaccuracies of their measurement technique. They took the average of their $n_i$ values as the outcome of their experiment to obtain $n_i = 1.00(3) \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$.

Meanwhile, Klaassen et al. clarified the reasons why no BGN was detected at $N_A < 2 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ in those days: The excessively high $n_i = 1.45 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ was used in the data evaluation of the electrical BGN measurements. By means of Eq. (1), a value of $n_i$ which is too high corresponds to a value of $\Delta E_g$ that is too low. Hence, the higher $n_i$ is chosen, the higher is the minimal $N_A$ where BGN will be detected. This is demonstrated in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). Figure 3(a) shows the originally measured values of $\Delta E_g$ in p-type silicon,8–12 where $n_i = 1.45 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ was used in the data evaluation, and Fig. 3(b) shows a revision made by Klaassen et al.8 using $n_i = 1.19 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ of Green and Sproul (and revised mobility data). The work of Klaassen et al. indicates a significant gap shrinkage $\Delta E_g$ in the doping range near $10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, as has been predicted before by theoretical considerations. The situation is similar in n type, as shown in Figs. 3(c)–3(e). This implies that indeed, the Sproul and Green experiment yields the effective intrinsic carrier density $n_{i,\text{eff}}$ in Eq. (1) and not $n_i$ itself. In this interpretation, the latter is given by the asymptotic value approached in the left part of Fig. 2. This will be demonstrated below.

So far, electronic devices have been mostly simulated with empirical parametrizations of BGN. Such parametrizations were derived from transport measurements in doped silicon and have been given as functions of the dopant density. There has been disagreement about which measuring method best yields BGN data relevant to device simulation. Figure 3 shows that the BGN values obtained by absorption measurements13–20 are significantly smaller than those obtained by photoluminescence or electronic techniques.22,8–12,23–29 Like commonly experienced, we have applied the electronic BGN data most successfully to the simulation of solar cells and other electronic devices.30 Since such BGN values scatter considerably, they yield no precise gap shrinkage at $N_{\text{dop}} = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$. Hence, we compute $\Delta E_g$ by means of Schenk’s BGN model.4 This model was derived within a full random-phase approximation (RPA) formalism for finite temperatures. The exchange-correlation self energy of the free carriers and the correlation energy of the carrier-dopant interaction were treated on an equal footing. The dispersive quasiparticle shift in RPA quality was calculated numerically. Based on these results, Padé approximations of the thermodynamically averaged, rigid band shifts $\Delta E_g = E_g^{(0)} - E_g$ and $\Delta E_v = E_v^{(0)} - E_v^{(0)}$ were constructed in terms of the carrier and dopant densities and the temperature. $E_g^{(0)}$ and $E_v^{(0)}$ denote the intrinsic band-edge energies. The results of using this model for $\Delta E_g = \Delta E_c + \Delta E_v$ are depicted as solid lines in Fig. 3.

These $\Delta E_g$ values cannot be generally compared to the experimental BGN data derived from electrical measurements, shown as filled symbols in Fig. 3. This is so because the electrical BGN values were extracted from transport measurements, and are thus influenced by the transport
model employed in the data evaluation. In particular, Boltzmann statistics and the ideal density-of-states (DOS) of silicon were used, and it was furthermore assumed that \( \Delta E_g = \Delta E_g^b \) (symmetric BGN). It has been theoretically shown, however, that the transport properties of free carriers are influenced by several factors: By degeneracy\(^{31,32} \) at high-doping levels, by the change in DOS due to the formation of an impurity band\(^{33} \) at medium-to-high dopant densities, and by the asymmetry of the band gap shrinkage.\(^{32-34} \) Since all these influences are neglected in the data evaluation of the empirical BGN values, the obtained values do not generally reflect the band-gap narrowing \( \Delta E_g \) of Eq. (1), but are instead a conglomeration of various effects. Hence, they are referred to as an “apparent band gap narrowing” \( \Delta E_g^{app} \).

Since the Sproul and Green experiment was carried out at low-dopant densities and under low-level injection conditions, both carrier types were nondegenerate, and the DOS was essentially ideal. Reference 32 also shows that, under such conditions, the effects of asymmetry in BGN are unimportant. Thus, at low-dopant densities and under low-level injection conditions, the BGN theory of Ref. 4 can be directly compared with the experimental \( \Delta E_g^{app} \) values. Figure 3(b) shows that in p type, there is good agreement between the theory and electrical measurements in this case. In n type, there are no electrical BGN data available below \( N_{\text{dop}} = 5 \times 10^{17} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \). This is not relevant to the Sproul and Green experiment, since the device parts having such low-phosphorus dopant densities are very small and do not influence the I-V curves. A recent study on p-type solar cells\(^{22} \) experimentally confirmed the BGN theory of Ref. 4 in the doping range of \((1-4) \times 10^{17} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \). Figures 3(b) and 3(e) show that it also describes the experimental data at higher-dopant densities (up to \( 1 \times 10^{19} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \)). For the reasons outlined above, the theory cannot be compared to the electrical measurements at \( N_{\text{dop}} > 1 \times 10^{19} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \), but is most directly compared to photoluminescence measurements, shown as crosses. As expected, the theory yields slightly smaller BGN values than photoluminescence measurements because it neglects band tails.\(^{35-37} \) Since band tails host immobile carriers and therefore do not contribute to BGN relevant to electronic devices, the theory describes the situation very well. The random-phase approximation underlying Schenk’s model represents the first term in a diagrammatic expansion of the screened carrier self energies. In order to investigate the BGN due to higher-order terms, we calculated the plasma-induced band shift beyond the full RPA contribution \( \Delta E_{\text{RPA}} \) on the basis of the statically screened ladder approximation (SSLA).\(^{38,39} \) This treatment corresponds to the Gould–DeWitt procedure\(^{40} \) in plasma physics and incorporates both scattering and bound two-particle states. Focusing on the low-to-intermediate density range, we assumed Boltzmann statistics for simplicity. Details of the derivation can be found in Ref. 41. Here, we quote only the final result for a symmetric plasma of density \( n_e = n_h \),

\[
\Delta_e = \Delta_{\text{RPA}} - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_b Z_{ab} \Lambda_{ab} n_b + \frac{e^2}{4 \pi \epsilon_{\text{Si}}} \frac{\Lambda_{a}^2 n_a}{2 g_a} \Phi \left( \frac{\kappa \lambda_{ab}}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{e^2}{4 \pi \epsilon_{\text{Si}}} \right)^2 \frac{\pi \beta}{\kappa} \sum_b \Phi \left( \frac{\kappa \lambda_{ab}}{2} \right) n_b,
\]

where \( Z_{ab} \) denotes the interaction part of the two-body par-
FIG. 4. Comparison between the room-temperature conduction-band shift in Eq. (2) (solid line) and the RPA shift of Ref. 4 (dashed line). The contributions not covered by the RPA formalism can practically be neglected.

FIG. 5. The minority electron mobility at room temperature in boron-doped silicon, measured by various authors (see Refs. 47–53 (symbols), compared to a commonly used parametrization (see Ref. 54) and as introduced in this work by a modification of Klaassen’s parametrization (see Ref. 64).

tion function$^{42,43}$ which is determined by the quantum-mechanical scattering phase shifts $\delta_{\epsilon,ab}(k)$ and binding energies $E_{n\ell}<0$. These are obtained from the numerical solution of a radial Schrödinger equation with the static Debye interaction potential.\textsuperscript{44,45} Furthermore, $\Lambda_{ab} = (4\pi)^{1/2}\Lambda_{ab} = (2\pi\hbar^2/m_{ab})^{1/2}$ is the thermal wavelength, $\varepsilon_\text{Si}$ the static dielectric constant, $g_\epsilon$ the combined valley and spin degeneracy factor, and $\kappa^2 = (e^2\beta/\varepsilon_\text{Si})\sum_b n_b$ the squared Debye screening wave number. We use the same material parameters as in Ref. 4. Note that in Eq. (2), the first correction term involving the function $\Phi(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\pi}\operatorname{erf}(x)$ arises because the static Born scattering contribution is replaced by the dynamic RPA shift, while the second term takes into account that in the SSLA only direct self-energy diagrams with more than two interaction lines occur.

In Fig. 4, we compare the total conduction band shift $\Delta_e$ of Eq. (2) to $\Delta_{\text{RPA}}$ of Ref. 4. Evidently, for densities up to $n_e = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ their difference is negligibly small. Past this mark our assumption of nondegeneracy breaks down so that our curves for the band shift are no longer representative. In fact, the residual shift $\Delta_e - \Delta_{\text{RPA}}$ should tend to zero there, since it is known from the electron gas theory that $\Delta_{\text{RPA}}$ dominates the total quasiparticle shift in the high-density regime.\textsuperscript{46} The situation is analogous for holes. Hence we conclude that at room temperature, Schenk’s model adequately describes the plasma-induced BGN over the whole density range covered in our numerical simulations. Consequently, our extracted value for $n_i$ should indeed reproduce the actual intrinsic carrier concentration within the given error bounds.

IV. MOBILITY MEASUREMENTS

The Sproul and Green $I-V$ curves are also influenced by the mobility of minority electrons in the base, $\mu_{e,\text{min}}$. We use the values given by Sproul et al.\textsuperscript{47} who determined $\mu_{e,\text{min}}$ by photoconductance decay measurements of the same FZ sili-

con material as was used for the fabrication of the cells. Figure 5 shows that, in the doping range of $N_{\text{dop}} < 5 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$, these $\mu_{e,\text{min}}$ values are higher than most measurements reported by other authors.\textsuperscript{48–51,11,52,53} and also higher than the mobility of majority electrons $\mu_{e,\text{maj}}$ measured by Thurber et al.\textsuperscript{54,55} It is expected that $\mu_{e,\text{min}} = \mu_{e,\text{maj}}$ in this doping range, because (i) the free carriers are mainly scattered by phonons, regardless of their charge, (ii) the drag effect is negligibly small at such low injection and dopant densities, and (iii) the difference in mobility data obtained from diffusivity or drift experiments are negligibly small. Hence, Fig. 5 shows a general discrepancy between the data of Sproul et al. and the values given by the other authors. This discrepancy arises mainly because the mobility is higher in FZ material than in Czochralski-grown (CZ) material. We discuss this topic at length in a separate paper\textsuperscript{56} and outline our arguments here only shortly. In Fig. 6, we compare the data of Sproul et al.\textsuperscript{47} and Thurber et al.\textsuperscript{55} to the measurements of Litovchenko et al., who determined $\mu_{e,\text{maj}}$ in high-purity samples as well as in CZ samples containing $(5-8) \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ oxygen atoms.\textsuperscript{57} Litovchenko’s data, obtained from high-purity silicon, matches the FZ data of Sproul very well, while Litovchenko’s CZ data coincides with Thurber’s values. This indicates strongly that the different amounts of oxygen is the main reason why Sproul’s mobility values, obtained in FZ material, are higher than Thurber’s, obtained in CZ material. We cannot give ultimate reasons for these experimental findings. The scattering of electrons at the (neutral) oxygen atoms alone cannot reduce the mobility at 300 K substantially.\textsuperscript{57,58} Other possible reasons for the mobility degradation include the electrical activity of defects induced by oxygen precipitation\textsuperscript{59,60} and scattering at local phonon modes related to the oxygen precipitation.\textsuperscript{61,62} The latter does not interfere with luminescence measurements indicating that phonon energies are insensitive to the oxygen density.\textsuperscript{63} Litovchenko et al. derived
by setting we introduce a fit through Sproul’s upper and lower bounds to account for the error estimates of Sproul’s measurements, trons scatter more efficiently at the $p^+$ ions, $\mu_{e,\text{min}}$ is larger than $\mu_{e,\text{maj}}$ at $N_{\text{dop}} > 5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, as shown in Fig. 5.

V. REEVALUATION OF THE SPROUL AND GREEN EXPERIMENT

To reproduce the Sproul and Green measured $I–V$ curves, we implement the BGN model of Ref. 4 in the device simulator Dessis$^{65}$ which numerically solves the fully coupled set of semiconductor differential equations in a self-consistent way. With an approach reported earlier,$^{66}$ we simulate the entire $2 \times 2$ cm$^2$ large cells of Sproul and Green, including 1 cm of wafer material surrounding the cells (which remained embedded in the wafer after fabrication). Our simulation parameters are listed in Table I.

The lines in Figs. 1 and 2 show our simulation results. We are able to reproduce all the measured $I–V$ curves in Fig. 1 very precisely using the (single) value of $n_i = 9.65 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$. These simulations underestimate the measured $I–V$ curves only at very low current-densities because shunt currents through the $p n$-junction are neglected. The simulated $n_{i,\text{eff}}$ (solid line in Fig. 2) reproduces the apparent $n_i$ values of Sproul and Green (symbols) very well, except at $N_A = 3.6 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, where the analytical model of Sproul and Green may cause a systematic deviation. The dashed curves in Fig. 2 are obtained by using the error bounds of the mobility, i.e., $\mu_L = 1580$ and 1450 cm$^2$/Vs, respectively (shown in Fig. 5). The error bounds in the mobility influence the extracted $n_i$ only within the error bars given by Sproul and Green.

Misiakos and Tsamakis$^5$ measured $n_i$ at carrier densities near $1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, by means of capacitance measurements of $p^+ in^+$ diodes, where the $i$ layer had a $n$ type dopant density of $4.5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$. They obtained a value of $9.7(1) \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$, which is included in Fig. 2 (square). The error bar denotes the measurement uncertainty given by these authors. We plot their $n_i$ value at a boron density of $1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, because this is the injection density during their measurement, and the dopant type is irrelevant at such low dopant densities. Their $n_i$ value is consistent with the Sproul and Green results for low $N_A$. In our interpretation,

![Image](image_url)

**FIG. 6.** At low-dopant densities, the electron mobility in float-zone (FZ) silicon is higher than in Czochralski-grown (CZ) silicon, as the comparison of various measurements (see Refs. 47,54,55,57) shows.

**TABLE I.** Physical device parameters and models used in the numerical simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell geometry</td>
<td>As published in Refs. 2 and 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter region</td>
<td>1 cm from cell’s edge$^{66}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doping profile</td>
<td>As published in Refs. 2 and 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact resistance</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$ $\Omega$cm, as given in Ref. 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier statistics</td>
<td>Fermi–Dirac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic carrier density</td>
<td>$9.65 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band-gap narrowing</td>
<td>Model of Ref. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier mobility</td>
<td>Klaassen’s model,$^{44}$ adapted to $\mu_L = 1520$ cm$^2$/Vs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRH recombination in bulk</td>
<td>Midgap defects with equal capture cross sections, lifetime $\tau$ as given in Ref. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRH recombination at surface</td>
<td>Midgap defects with equal capture cross sections, $S = 600$ cm/s at the front$^{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRH recombination at contacts</td>
<td>Ohmic, i.e., flat quasi-Fermi energy levels at midgap,$^{65,30}$ equivalent to $S = \infty$ cm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auger recombination</td>
<td>Injection dependent model$^{70}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is the asymptotic value towards low-dopant densities of the solid line in Fig. 2. This asymptotic value is consistent with measurements reported by both groups of authors, and is significantly lower than the average of the Sproul and Green data. As our simulations imitate the measurements of Sproul and Green, they cannot reduce the uncertainties of their technique. Hence, the one-standard-deviation uncertainty of \( n_i \), extracted with our simulations, is at least 3\%, the same that was given by Sproul and Green.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We reinterpreted the \( n_i \) measurements of Sproul and Green on the basis of numerical simulations with a random phase approximation model of band-gap narrowing. Higher-order perturbation terms in the diagrammatic expansion of the screened carrier self energies were calculated in the statically screened ladder approximation and shown to give no significant contribution to BGN. We also critically revised and confirmed the minority-carrier mobility values used by Sproul and Green for the evaluation of their data. According to our interpretation, the Sproul and Green experiment was influenced by band gap narrowing although the dopant density in their samples was small. We conclude that the intrinsic carrier density \( n_i \) is given by the asymptotic value approached in the left part of Fig. 2. Hence, we find \( n_i = 9.65 \times 10^{9} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) in silicon at 300 K, a value which is consistent with the capacitance measurements performed by Misiakos and Tsamakis.
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